November 2, 2022

The Honorable Michal Freedhoff, Ph.D. Assistant Administrator Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Washington, D.C. 20460

Edward Messina
Director
Office of Pesticide Programs
Environmental Protection Agency
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Washington, D.C. 20460

Subject: Organizational Letter in Response to EPA Pesticide Coated Seed Decision

Dear Assistant Administrator Freedhoff and Director Messina,

We the undersigned organizations are writing in response to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announcement on September 28, 2022, EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0805, to sustain pesticide coated seeds status under the Treated Article Exemption (TAE) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA).

We are disappointed in EPA's failure to enact the provisions of the 2017 petition submitted by Bret Adee, American Beekeeping Federation, American Bird Conservancy, American Honey Producers Association, Jeff Anderson, Lucas Criswell, Gail Fuller, David Hackenberg, Pesticide Action Network of North America, Pollinator Stewardship Council, and the Center for Food Safety.

The petition response states that EPA's "assessment of [pesticides used as coatings] is thorough and that such concerns and can are being addressed in the context of registration and registration review actions.¹" However, existing assessments have identified many chemicals used as seed treatments, such as neonicotinoids, as Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species and critical habitats.²

Pursuant to the Endangered Species Act, mitigations may be introduced to account for these impacts. However, the scale at which they are used and the locations in which they are used are not presently understood due to the TAE. It is not possible to fully protect listed species and critical habitats with the current classification of pesticide-coated seeds.

The tendency of neonicotinoid pesticide coatings to leave seeds and contaminate the environment is well documented, with as much as 97% of a chemical leaving the seed.³ From there it kills nontarget insects,⁴ can persist in soil for up to 1,000 days,⁵ and ripples up and down trophic levels by disrupting ecosystems and natural processes.⁶ Pesticide coated seeds have been shown to interrupt a bird's migratory ability, causing immobility and decreasing body weight.⁷

The special status held by treated seeds means there has been no reliable data collected on their use since 2014. The United States Geological Survey (USGS) tracks and reports pesticide use across the country. Citing uncertainty and complexity, the USGS stopped reporting on the amount of neonicotinoid insecticide used as seed treatments beginning in 2015. Resultantly, there is no present way to measure,

and therefore no way to mitigate, the true amount of environmental contamination from pesticide use in the United States.

This loss of invertebrate life has rippling effects on ecosystems. Birds and mammals which depend on invertebrates for prey may experience massive reductions in population due to food shortages. Pollination may decrease due to pesticide-caused mortalities in pollinating insect, impacting human food supply. Normal ecosystem functions such as decomposition, soil turnover, and biological pest control may all cease.

We are grateful for EPA's intention to:

- Determine whether or to what extent pesticide-treated seed is being distributed, sold, or used in a manner inconsistent with treating pesticide labeling
- Pursue enforcement of pesticide or pesticide-treated seed label violations
- Promulgate an advance notice of public rulemaking seeking comment on issues raised in the petition

We the undersigned are concerned with the declaration of EPA's potential issuance of "a FIFRA section 3(a) rule to regulate pesticide-treated seed under FIFRA section 3(a) to ensure distribution, sale, and use of the treated seed is consistent with treating pesticide and treated seed labeling."

We would like EPA to change this from a possible exploration to a definite commitment to issue such a rule. The success of such a rule would also be contingent upon stronger language on coated seed bag tags.

Seed treatments have some appropriate targeted uses, but by and large they offer negligible or no increase in crop yield. When applied preemptively, the damage and devastation they cause far outweighs any theoretical benefit. The first step in mitigating a pesticide is knowing where and how it is used. EPA's failure to reclassify coated seeds prevents this progress and mitigation from happening.

We thank EPA for the opportunity to share our thoughts and urge the Agency to reconsider its decision. Pesticide coated seeds should not be included under the TAE. The damage to people and wildlife from pesticide coated seeds will continue to worsen without major action.

Sincerely,



























Aiken Audubon Illinois Environmental Council
Alaska Wildlife Recovery Effort Illinois Ornithological Society

American Bird Conservancy Iowa Audubon

Arkansas Valley Audubon Society Los Angeles Audubon Society

Audubon of Southwest Florida Lower Columbia Basin Audubon Society

Bird Ally X Maryland Conservation Council

Bird Conservation Network Maryland Ornithological Society

Bitterroot Audubon Society Maryland Pesticide Education Network

Black Canyon Audubon Society Maryland Votes for Animals

Bozeman Birders Montgomery Countryside Alliance

Buffalo Ornithological Society

Natural Resource Economics

Center for Biological Diversity

Noel J. Cutright Bird Club

Chesapeake Wildlife Heritage Northcoast Environmental Center

Chicago Ornithological Society Northeast Organic Farming Association

Conservation Congress Northwest Center for Alternatives to

Cumberland-Harpeth Audubon Society Pesticides

Eastern Monarch Butterfly Farm Orleans Audubon Society

Ecoan Peru Otter Creek Audubon Society

EcoMagic People & Pollinators Action Network

Environmental Protection Information Presque Isle Audubon Society

Center- EPIC Public Employees for Environmental

Forest Web Responsibility (PEER)

Friends of Iroquois National Wildlife

Purple Martin Conservation Association

Refuge Rockbridge Bird Club

Friends of the Bitterroot SAFE Alternatives for our Forest

Friends of the Earth Environment

Friends of the San Pedro River Salem Audubon Society

Golden Gate Audubon Society

San Bernardino Valley Audubon Society

Great Old Broads for Wilderness Santa Clara Valley Audubon Society

Hampshire Bird Club Save Our Sky Blue Waters

Hawk Mountain Sanctuary Association Sequoia ForestKeeper®

High Country Audubon Society Sierra Club

Illinois Council of Trout Unlimited Tennessee Ornithological Society

The International Wildlife Rehabilitation Council

The Linnaean Society of New York

The Massachusetts Pollinator Network

The Urban Wildlands Group

Toxic Free NC

Tree Fredericksburg

Virginia Society of Ornithology

Washington Crossing Audubon Society

Western Great Lakes Bird and Bat

Observatory

Whidbey Environmental Action Network

Will County Chapter of the Illinois Audubon

Society

Zumbro Valley Audubon Society

For more information, please contact:

Hardy Kern, Director of Government Relations, Pesticides and Birds Campaign American Bird Conservancy 412-337-4673 ehardykern@abcbirds.org

¹ https://www.regulations.gov/document/EPA-HQ-OPP-2018-0805-0104

² https://www.epa.gov/pollinator-protection/schedule-review-neonicotinoid-pesticides

³ https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25793443/

 $[\]label{lem:https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.7b06} \begin{tabular}{l} 4 https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.7b06388\#:~:text=In%20 recent%20 years%2C%20 neonicotinoid%20 insectic ides, (nAChRs)%2C%20 their%20 mol. \end{tabular}$

⁵ https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4284396/

⁶ https://www.nature.com/articles/nature13531

⁷ https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.aaw9419

⁸https://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/pnsp/usage/maps/show_map.php?year=2015&map=IMIDACLOPRID&hilo=L&disp=Imidacloprid